

Contents

Abstract	ii
Acknowledgments	iv
1 Introduction	7
1.1 Chapter Introduction	7
1.2 Background	7
1.3 Statement of Research Question	10
1.4 Structure of The Thesis	10
2 Literature Review	14
2.1 Chapter Introduction	14
2.2 Best-First Search Algorithms	15
2.2.1 Conspiracy Number Search	15
2.2.2 Proof Number Search	16
2.2.3 Monte-Carlo Tree Solver	18
2.3 Combining Certainties with Uncertainties	19
2.3.1 Product Propagation	19
2.3.2 Monte-carlo Proof Number Search	21
2.4 Game-tree Structures	23
2.4.1 MIN/MAX tree	23
2.4.2 AND/OR Tree	24
2.4.3 Balanced vs Unbalanced Game-tree	25
2.5 Uncertainty in Entertainment: Game Refinement Theory	26
2.5.1 Motion in Mind	27

2.6	Chapter Summary	28
3	Characterizing the Probability-based Proof Number Search	29
3.1	Chapter Introduction	29
3.2	Probability-based Proof Number Search Algorithm	30
3.2.1	Probability-based Proof Number	30
3.2.2	Algorithm of Probability-based Proof Number Search	32
3.3	Game Test-Beds	35
3.3.1	Connect Four	35
3.3.2	Othello	36
3.4	Experimental Setup	38
3.5	Result and Discussion	39
3.5.1	Experimental Result on Connect 4	39
3.5.2	Experiment Results on Othello	43
3.5.3	Discussion	45
3.6	Chapter Summary	48
4	Solving Single-Agent Game with Probability-based Proof Number Search	49
4.1	Chapter Introduction	49
4.2	Single Agent Game	50
4.3	Single Agent Probability-based Proof Number Search Algorithm	51
4.4	Game Test-Bed: 2x2 2048	52
4.5	Research Methodology	53
4.5.1	Application of PPN-Search to 2x2 2048	53
4.5.2	Experimental Setup	54
4.6	Result and Discussion	54
4.7	Chapter Summary	6
5	Finding the Critical Aspect of Single-Agent Game Using Single Con-	
	spiracy Number Indicator	58
5.1	Chapter Introduction	58
5.2	Single Conspiracy Number	59
5.2.1	Application of SCN to Two-player Games	60

5.3	Research Methodology	63
5.3.1	2048 as The Test-Beds of Single-Agent Game	63
5.3.2	SCN and Expectimax Algorithm	64
5.3.3	Experimental Setup	66
5.4	Result and Discussion	66
5.4.1	Result	66
5.4.2	Discussion	70
5.4.3	Game Play Implications to level-3ise	71
5.5	Implications of Player Experience to Player Entertainment	73
5.5.1	Applying Result of Different Player level-3ise to Motion in Mind concept	74
5.6	Chapter Summary	78
6	Conclusion	80
	Bibliography	83
	Publications	91

List of Figures

2-1	Illustration of a MIN/MAX game-tree. The MAX nodes are represented by square, while the MIN nodes are represented by circles.	23
2-2	Illustration of an AND/OR game-tree. The OR nodes are represented by square, while the AND nodes are represented by circles.	24
2-3	Illustration of information in unbalanced (left) versus balanced (right) game-tree.	25
3-1	Illustration of <i>PPN</i> calculation in PPN-Search. OR nodes are displayed as square, while AND nodes are displayed as circle.	32
3-2	Illustration of <i>pmc</i> calculation in MCPNS. OR nodes are displayed as square, while AND nodes are displayed as circle.	32
3-3	Illustration of Connect Four with a 7×6 board.	35
3-4	Illustration of Othello with an 8×8 board.	37
3-5	Number of Connect Four positions solved, unsolved, and out of bounds by PNS, MCPNS, and PPN-Search.	39
3-6	PPN value of the root of two different positions for PPN-Search.	40
3-7	Number of positions solved, unsolved, and out of bounds by PPN-Search.	42
3-8	The completion percentage of PNS, MCPNS, and PPN-Search for different numbers of moves.	44
3-9	The completion percentage of PPN-Search in different stages of Othello. The left-most area colored in orange represents the opening stage of Othello, the middle area (blue) represents the middle game of Othello, and the right-most green area represents the end game of Othello.	46
4-1	Illustration of initial positions ins 2x2 2048.	52

4-2	Number of 2x2 2048 initial positions solved, unsolved, and out of bounds by PNS, MCPNS, and PPN-Search.	54
5-1	Illustration of tile merging from an initial state of 2048 game, where the player choose to move “down” (left). Then, a random tile appeared in a single turn of the 2048 game right after the merged tile (right).	64
5-2	Illustration of SCN calculation in the Expectimax framework.	65
5-3	Comparison of SCN of 2048 games calculated using threshold configuration of $T1$ (change with new high number of tile) and $T2$ (constant). In all of the results, the highest number on the board at the end of the game is 2048. Note that the SCN value is normalized between 0 and 1.	68
5-4	Illustration of 2048 game with $d = 2$ (SCN value normalized between 0 and 1).	69
5-5	Illustration of 2048 game with $d = 3$ (SCN value normalized between 0 and 1).	69
5-6	Illustration of 2048 game with $d = 4$	70
5-7	Examples of boards with different SCN values	72
5-8	Depiction of the occurrence of the “stability trap“ in the simulated level-3 player game ($d = 4$). level-3 players would be able to escape the position and continue their game, leading to a higher score and longer game	73
5-9	Depiction of the occurrence of the “stability trap“ in the simulated level-2 player game ($d = 3$). level-2 players have a higher chance to be trapped in the position, leading to an early end of the game	74
5-10	SCN based Motion in Mind values for: (a) $d=2$, (b) $d=3$, (c) $d=4$, and (d) $d=5$	76

List of Tables

2.1	Analogical Link Between Motion in Mind and Motion in Physics.	27
3.1	Average time and node for each PPN-Search configurations.	43
3.2	Experimental result of different algorithms applied to Othello positions. . .	43
3.3	Additional experiment result of applying PPN-Search to different stages of Othello positions.	45
3.4	Best performance search algorithms in different tree structure.	48
4.1	Mechanics In Single Player Games.	51
4.2	Average iterations and nodes visited of PNS, MCPNS, and PPN-Search. .	55
5.1	Result of Expectimax implementation on 2048 Game.	66
5.2	Results of the Application of Motion in Mind to 2048.	75
5.3	Analogical Link Between Motion in Mind and SCN in Games	78